Page 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPEARANCES CONTINUED: NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA 2 **DUERRING LAW OFFICES** SOUTH BEND DIVISION BY: MARIELENA DUERRING CITY OF SOUTH BEND Plaintiff, 3 Colonia Place 61191 US 31 South SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, CAUSE NO.: South Bend, Indiana 46614 ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 (574) 968-0250 Defendants BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, 5 attymduerring@aol.com TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, 6 Appearing on behalf of the defendants and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Scott Duerring and Karen Depacee Plaintiffs,)3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN 7 THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting MAY, OBERFELL, LORBER 8 Through its Police Department, BY: E. SPENCER WALTON, JR. DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually 9 and in his Official Capacity as 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, Mishawaka, Indiana 46545 and SCOTT DUERRING, 10 (574) 243-4100 Defendants. ewalton@maylorber.com 1.1 The deposition of: STEVE RICHMOND. DATE: Tuesday, April 16, 2013. 12 Appearing on behalf of the South Bend Common Council TIME: 2:07 p.m. EST. 13 May, Oberfell and Lorber 14 ALSO PRESENT: 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway 15 Mishawaka, Indiana 46545 Called as a witness by the defendant, Darryl Boykins, pursuant to notice of deposition as to date, place and time, and in accordance with the Indiana Rules of Civil Scott Duerring 16 Darryl Boykins Brian Young Procedure, and as reported by MICHELLE A. WHITAKER, Notary Public, RPR, Associate Reporter. MARILYN M. JONES & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 17 Sandy Young 18 COMPUTER-ASSISTED REPORTERS STEVE RICHMOND DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: 19 1416 FRANKLIN STREET 2.0 4 - Office of professional standards, seven-page document MICHIGAN CITY, INDIANA 46360 (219) 879-4077 (Exhibit marked in a previous deposition and utilized 22 herein.) 23 24 ---000---25 Page 2 Page 4 APPEARANCES: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 --FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS 2 (All parties present in the conference room BY: EDWARD A. SULLIVAN, III 202 S. Michigan Street, Suite 1400 3 at or about 2:07 p.m. EST. Witness sworn.) South Bend, Indiana 46601-2020 (574) 234-4149 STEVE RICHMOND ed.sullivan@faegrebd.com 5 Having been first duly sworn, then testified as Appearing on behalf of the plaintiff 6 follows: City of South Bend 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION CITY OF SOUTH BEND 8 BY MR. DIXON: BY: ALADEAN M, DeROSE County-City Building 9 Q. Can you tell me your name, please. South Bend, Indiana 46601 10 A. Steven Richmond. 10 (574) 235-5866 Appearing on behalf of the plaintiff 11 11 O. And where do you live? City of South Bend 12 12 A. I live in Grosse Point Woods, Michigan. PFEIFER MORGAN & STESIAK 13 O. Retired there? 13 BY: DANIEL PFEIFER 53600 North Ironwood Drive 14 A. Yes. Well, I'm -- I am employed, but I retired 14 South Bend, Indiana 46635 15 from the police department and moved there. (574) 272-2870 15 dpfeifer@pilawyers.com Q. Okay. And how long did you work for South Bend 16 16 Appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs Brian Young, Sandy Young, Timothy Corbett, David Wells and 17 **Police Department?** 17 Steve Richmond DIXON, WRIGHT & ASSOCIATES, P.C. BY: THOMAS M. DIXON 18 A. Twenty-nine years, I think, three months. 18 19 Q. How long ago did you retire? 19 55255 Birchwood Court A. I retired in June of last year, in 2012. 20 Osceola, Indiana 46561 20 (574) 315-6455 21 Q. What rank did you retire at? tdixon3902@comcast.net 21 22 A. Investigative division chief. Appearing on behalf of the defendant Q. Just by way of background, first of all, have you 23 Darryl Boykins 24 been deposed before? 25 A. Yes.

Page 5	Page
1 Q. Several times?	1 A. Yes,
2 A. Yes, I would imagine.	
Q. And I'm sure you've given live testimony in court	2. 1222 What Posteron and Jon Hold Before that:
4 as well?	- The state of the
5 A. Yes, I have.	Q. Who was the chief when you were the captain?
6 Q. So, do you think we need to go through the ground	5 A. Rick Bishop.
7 rules about not interrupting each other and all that kind of	6 Q. Did Rick Bishop have his own office?
8 stuff? Are you pretty comfortable with that?	7 A. Yes, he did.
9 A. Yes.	Q. Did you When you were a captain and he was
Q. Okay. You heard the ground rules I went through	9 chief, did you have your own office as captain?
with with Sandy Young?	10 A. Yes, I did.
12 A. Yes:	Q. And when you became the chief, did you move in
	12 Rick's office or the office that Rick had had?
e and apply at you not a bleak of it you	13 A. Yes, I did.
The state of the s	Q. And did did you then appoint or did was
understand a question, just let me know. Okay?A. Yes.	15 Brian Young then appointed to the position that you we
	16 vacating?
c , , our commer rouges an objection, i nount	17 A. Yes, he was.
18 recommend that you just you let him finish lodging his	18 Q. And he went into your old office?
objection before you try to pause and then answer and get	19 A. Yes, he did.
20 instruction from him as to answer or not to answer. Okay?	MR. WALTON: Excuse me by asking you again.
A. I understand.	Did you say you moved into Rick Bishop's office?
Q. Now, when you retired as the investigative	THE DEPONENT: When they promoted me to
23 division chief, how many I'm gonna this is also by way	division chief, yes, I did.
24 of background how many divisions were there on the South	MR. WALTON: You moved into his physical
25 Bend Police Department?	25 office?
Page 6	Page
1 A. There are three divisions.	1 THE DEPONENT: Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Can you Records? Was records one of	Q. Now, when you made that move, that was in February
3 'em?	3 of 2010?
4 A. No.	4 A. Yes, sir.
5 Q. Tell me the divisions.	5 Q. When you made that move, you kept the phone numbe
6 A. There's the uniform patrol division.	6 that you had had as the captain?
7 Q. Okay.	7 A. Yes, I did.
A. There is the investigative division and then there	8 Q. And was that on your impetus or was that on
9 is the services division.	9 Captain Young's impetus?
Q. Is the investigative Would it be fair to say	A. That was on my request.
11 the investigative serv the investigative division	Q. Okay. And who did you request that of?
12 includes the detective bureau?	12 A. Barb Halaman (phonetic).
13 A. Yes.	Q. At the time you became the division chief, did
Q. And so when you retired, you were the were you	14 anyone tell you that the phone lines the phone line that
15 the chief of the investigative services division? Did	15 was hardwired into that office, the chief's office, was a
people also call you chief of the detective bureau?	16 recorded line?
17 A. Yeah. Investigative it wasn't called the	17 A. No.
18 investigative services division. It was investigative	Q. Were you aware that it was a recorded line?
20 11 1 1 mg H 4 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5 H 5	19 A. No, I was not.
00 0 744 04	Q. Rick Bishop never talked to you about it about
	21 his line being recorded?
00 1 11 0	22 A. No, he did not.
	,
_	23 O. And did Jim Hassag have that position before Rick
Q. How how long did you hold that position?	C Transail may crime bookeron perore trick
Q. How how long did you hold that position? A. I began that position February 2010.	

Page 9 Page 11 chief. 1 1 MR. PFEIFER: Well, I can't -- If you want to 2 Q. Okay. Who -- do you know who was before Rick 2 ask the question, then I'll make a decision as to Bishop? 3 3 whether or not it is a standing question or it is 4 A. Oh, gosh. Yes. Eugene Kyle. 4 a question that is contemplated as a part of this 5 Q. Gene Kyle. Okay. 5 first wave of discovery. I mean, I don't think 6 And you were --- you were appointed to the position 6 it's appropriate for me to sit here and tell you of chief by then-chief Boykins? 7 how I think the question should be phrased. 8 A. Yes, I was. 8 MR. DIXON: No. I agree. I'm just -- I'm 9 Q. Would you have called Brian Young on his line? trying not to get into that issue. And since we 9 10 A. Yes. 10 already went through it, I thought you might have 11 Q. In his office? 11 a recollection better than mine of how I asked the 12 A. Yes, I did. 12 question which didn't get an objection to it. So, 13 MR. PFEIFER: By "his line," are you talking 13 14 about Brian Young's private line? 1.4 Can you read back my question right up to 15 MR. DIXON: I'm talking about the line that 15 where he gets to his objection. 16 was in -- on the phone that was in Brian --16 (Record read.) 17 Q. Would you have called him on the 6031 number that 17 MR. DIXON: And he objected to that. 18 was Brian Young's number? 1.8 Are you instructing him not to answer it, 19 A. 245-6031, yes, I did. 19 then? 20 Q. Okay. And you weren't here yesterday. 20 MR. PFEIFER: Yes, I'm instructing him not to 21 21 answer that. 22 Q. But one of the -- one of the things that was 22 THE REPORTER: Are you certifying that 23 testified to yesterday is that your phone number was not a 23 question? line that was ever recorded. Are you -- were you aware of 24 MR. DIXON: No, I'm not gonna worry about 25 that? 25 certifying that question. I'm just gonna try to Page 10 Page 12 A. I had heard rumor to that effect. I have nothing 1 remember how I asked it before when it wasn't 2 to verify that. 2 problematic. 3 Q. Did you have conversations with Chief Boykins 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED after it became known that Brian Young's line was a recorded 4 BY MR. DIXON: line? Did you have conversations with Chief Boykins Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge of the phone about -- where you expressed concern about your line being 6 recording system on South Bend Police Department when you 7 recorded? 7 were an officer? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. Firsthand knowledge? 9 Q. Do you have any evidence that you're -- that 9 Q. Right. you're on any conversations where the conversations are A. I do not know how the system operates. 10 11 recorded on -- in the police department? 11 Q. Did you receive any training on it or any 12 MR. PFEIFER: Object to that question, 12 instructions or anything like that? 13 because again we are outside the scope of the 13 A. My knowledge of the system is that there are 14 first wave of questioning. 14 certain areas of the police department that the phone lines 15 MR. DIXON: I thought it was real similar to 15 were recorded, and I was told of those areas. 16 the question I asked her, and I'm just trying to 16 Q. Okay. And what -- what's your knowledge of the 17 figure out exactly how I asked her that question. 17 areas that were recorded? 18 I'm just trying to get at the same thing, which we A. Initially when I became an officer or a cadet back 18 19 allowed to have happen. So, tell me what you in 1973, I was told that the phone lines at the front desk 20 remember me saying in that last one. and in the radio room were recorded lines. Later, after the 20 21 MR. PFEIFER: Tom, it's not my job to phrase 21 remodel -- and I'm not certain what year the new police 22 the questions for you. I'm not trying to -department opened -- but I was told there was one other 23 MR. DIXON: And I'm just asking you to clear 23 area, that would have been the front desk, the radio room, 24 it up so that you don't think that -- that I'm and the patrol sergeant's command office, were the only 25 trying to get into standing issues. 25 three areas in the police department where the phone lines

3

4

5

7

18

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

11

18

19

20

21

Page 13

were recorded.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

1

2

3

6

10

13

14

15

O. Do you as a -- as a chief -- By the time you get to the level of chief, are you presumed to -- to know both the policies and the standard operating procedures of the police department sort of across the board?

MR. PFEIFER: Tom, if we could just clarify. You keep saying "chief." Are you talking about the division chief --

MR. DIXON: Right. Division chief.

MR. PFEIFER: - or are you talking about a chief of police?

MR. DIXON: Yeah, division chief.

A. I think as an officer you're -- you're challenged 13 to know most of the policies so you can abide by them. I think as a chief, I think that there's a belief that we have 15 16 a somewhat better understanding.

17 There are -- were certain areas as a division 18 chief that I requested to become more a part of, that I was not allowed to participate in by the other two division 20 chiefs. And I was -- I also had discussions with the chief 21 about that.

22 Q. I forgot to ask you, how do you prefer that I 23 refer to you in the deposition?

- A. Steve.
- 25 Q. Just Chief Richmond?

A. I'm sorry.

Q. I just asked you if you're aware of the policy.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll object that it's vague.

Page 15

Page 16

A. It doesn't pertain to phone lines.

Q. Okay. That's your -- that was gonna be my next question, is do you -- is it your understanding that that policy pertained to phone lines. And you're saying no, it does not?

9 A. It's my understanding that the policy does not pertain to phones or phone lines at the police department. 10

11 Q. I've had a lot of South Bend police officers, as a result of this case, tell me that they thought all of the lines on the department were taped. Did you ever have that 14 understanding?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Or I -- it's better to say "recorded," because "taped" I think means reducing 'em down.

But did you ever have that understanding?

19 A. Like I said a minute ago, the only areas that I 20 knew were recorded were the three areas: The front desk, the command area in the patrol division, and the radio room.

22 Q. I'm sorry. Could you either have that -- read 23 back the answer or just answer it again. What were the

24 three areas you said?

A. The front desk, the patrol command area by the

Page 14

- A. No. Well --
- Q. Chief.

Okay. Is it your testimony, Chief, that you were never made aware that Gene Kyle's line when he was the detective bureau chief or the investigative chief -- that his line was recorded?

A. I would not say never. I was told sometime after this all came to light that it may have been, but not 8 9 before.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of a written policy on -- on recording telephone lines in the South Bend Police 12 Department?

A. There is none.

Q. So, you're aware that there is not a policy?

A. I know there's not a policy.

16 Q. You're aware there's a policy related to internal affairs that indicated that there's no privacy rights on any 18 equipment of South Bend Police Department; right?

19 A. I'm aware of a section of the duty manual that's 20 known as the "Office of Professional Standards," and subsection 4(b). I think it refers to a right to privacy of 22 issued equipment but did not ever pertain to phone lines or 23 any phone.

24 Q. I didn't ask you what it -- I didn't ask your 25 interpretation what it pertained to.

front desk, and the radio room.

Q. Okay.

MR. DIXON: I think I'll probably just take a five-minute break. I don't think I have a lot more to ask. I just want to consult with my client a little bit.

MR. PFEIFER: Okay. (Brief recess taken.)

MR. DIXON: Okay. Back on the record.

Q. You're still under oath. 10

A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. Just very quickly. I'm gonna make a statement, 13 and tell me if you agree with me on this statement. Okay. Ultimately it's the -- it's the authority of the chief of police -- not the division chiefs but the chief of police --16 to set policy on the department? 17

A. I would imagine he has the ultimate decision.

MR. DIXON: All right. Thanks. I don't have any further questions.

Do you have any questions?

MS. DUERRING: Yeah, I do.

22 CROSS EXAMINATION

23 BY MS. DUERRING:

24 Q. Mr. Richmond, I'm Mari Duerring. I'm here to ask 25 you some questions. First of all, I'd like to get kind of

13

14

17

20

23

24

2

5

Page 17

- 1 the sequence of your progression through the department
- 2 clarified a little bit. When did you first start with the
- 3 **South Bend Police Department?**
 - A. June of 1973.

4

9

11

16

1

9

- 5 Q. And what was your position at that time?
- 6 A. I was a police cadet.
- 7 Q. How long were you a police cadet?
- 8 Roughly three and a half years.
 - Q. And what was your next position following that?
- 10 A. An officer in training.
 - Q. How long did you maintain that position?
- 12 A. The ten weeks while I was at the law enforcement
- academy in October through December 1976. 13
- 14 Q. Once you completed the training phase, what 15 position did you assume?
 - A. I was a patrolman. That was in January 1977.
- 17 Q. And how long did you remain a patrolman?
- 18 A. Until I was automatically promoted to the rank of 19 corporal three years later.
- 20 Q. Did any of your duties or responsibilities change 21 when you went from patrolman to corporal?
- 22 A. Just a leadership role out on the road. I think
- 23 the younger officers -- patrol officers looked to corporals
- 24 sometimes when there needed to be a decision made and there
- wasn't a sergeant available.

captain of the investigative division?

charge of detectives.

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And did you have a physical office in the police department in your prior position?

1 returned back there in 2003 and left August of 2006.

A. While -- in the interim, in that little hiatus

between '99 and 2003, I worked -- I was promoted to

lieutenant. I was working in the arson division at that

was a lieutenant. When I left, I was a lieutenant and I

Q. How long did you work in that capacity?

Q. When you were promoted to captain of the

investigative decision -- or division, can you explain for

I was now the captain in charge of lieutenants who were in

Q. Now, did you have a physical office within the

South Bend Police Department at the time you were the

Just more, again, leadership responsibility.

21 Instead of going from a lieutenant in charge of detectives,

15 then-chief Thomas Fautz promoted me to captain in the

A. Roughly two months, a little over two months, when

me how your duties differentiated from your former position.

11 supervisor. And I -- on a day shift, I was a case

investigative division October 2006.

point. When I returned to metro homicide unit in 2003, I

sought I guess a transfer back to the detective bureau as a

assume at that point in time?

assignment lieutenant.

Q. Now, when you left the -- what is now known as the

metro homicide unit in August of 2006, what position did you

- A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. As a lieutenant.
- Q. Now, at all times that you actually had a physical 9 office in the South Bend Police Department, did you have a 10
 - particular number that was associated with your office?
- 11 A. The later part, yeah. I had a private office
- earlier in my career, before being transferred to the St. 12
- Joe County metro, or the special crimes unit. I don't 13
- remember the number then. But when I returned to the South 14
- 15 Bend Police Department in August of '06 as a lieutenant, I
- 16 was given the 235-7473.
- Q. 7473. Was that the number that you carried over 17 18 when you were promoted to captain of the investigative
- 19 division?
- 20 A. Yes, I had that when I moved.
 - Q. How long did you have that number altogether?
- A. From August of 2006 'til the day that I retired. 22
- 23 Q. Now, you indicated at the time of your promotion
 - that you talked to Barb Halaman about transferring that number. Is that correct?

- Page 18
- Q. How long did you maintain the rank of corporal? A. Just -- my rough -- roughly -- not less than a
- 2
- year, because I resigned in June of 1980. 4 Q. Okay. And was there some point that you came back
- on to the department?
- 6 A. Yes. I came back in -- around March -- actually,
- 7 March I hired back on, in 1987.
- Q. Okay. So, you were gone for about seven years?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that correct?
- 11 When you came back on in 1987, what was your 12 position at that point in time?
- 13 A. Initially it was a patrolman until they reinstated 14 me as a corporal retroactive.
- 15 Q. And how long did you remain as a corporal?
- 16 A. Oh, until -- I think it was May 1996, when I was 17 promoted to sergeant.
- 18 Q. When you became a sergeant, what were your 19 responsibilities that was associated with that position?
- 20 A. By that time, I had been transferred into the
- 21 detective bureau and I was working at the St. Joseph County
- 22 special crimes unit. It's now known as metro homicide. 23
- Q. And how long were you assigned to the metro 24 homicide unit?
- 25 A. I began in 1994 and I left in 1999, and then I

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

21

Page 19

Page 20

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

7

- Q. All right. Can you tell me when that conversation took place.
- A. Initially took place when I went back to the day-shift lieutenant.
 - Q. If you could tell me the time frame, if you could.
- A. Right. When I went in as a day-shift lieutenant, the office they put me in was a vacant office, and that had the number in there, 7473, at that time.

10 When they promoted me to captain in October, I 11 went to her and asked her -- for her to have the phone 12 company come in and transfer the line because I didn't want 13 to have to let everybody in the world know that my number had changed. And she said she didn't need to contact the 15 phone company, that she was quite capable of doing that 16 herself.

17 And she sent me back to both of the offices. On the wall plates, there are little tags that have numbers 18 that designate it as to, like, ports, I guess, and she needed the port number that my phone was plugged into in my 21 lieutenant office. And I had to get under the desk in the 22 captain's office and get that port number and give those to 23 her.

24 And it took her like 15, 20 minutes, and she came 25 back and said she had done the switch. It was that fast.

1 time? 2

5

6

14

24

25

1

2

9

14

- A. Yes, he was.
- 3 Q. Was this switch ever communicated to Chief Fautz,
- to your knowledge?
- A. No, I don't know if it was or not.
- Q. Okay. At the time this switch occurred, Karen
- Depaepe was employed by the department. Correct?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recall what her position was at that particular point in time? And I'm referring to the time 11 that the switch in lines was being -- was made.
- A. I think she was communications director in the 12 13 radio room.
- Q. What was your understanding of the 15 responsibilities that Karen had as communication director?
- 16 A. She was a supervisor over the radio room. 17 MR. PFEIFER: Excuse me. Objection. Lack of

18 foundation. 19 You can go ahead and answer. 20 MS. DUERRING: I asked what his understanding

21

- 22 Q. But go ahead and answer.
- 23 A. What her responsibilities were?
 - O. Uh-huh.

MR. PFEIFER: Your understanding of her

Page 24

Page 23

- Q. Now, other than talking to Barb -- is it Hulaman or Holdeman?
 - A. I think it's Halaman.
 - Q. Halaman, Thank you.

Other than talking to Miss Halaman, did you seek authorization from anyone else in the department to have this line switched?

- A. I think at that time I think I asked Chief Kyle -it's his division -- if I could do that, if he had any 10 objections to that.
- 11 Q. Did he?
- 12
- 13 Q. Anybody else that you consulted with or sought 14 approval from?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Other than relaying these numbers back to Miss 17 Halaman in order for the change to be made, was there any other documentation that you provided to any other 19 individual with respect to the change that had occurred?
- 20 A. There wasn't any documentation whatsoever ever.
- 21 It was word of mouth between me and Barb Halaman and my 22 request of the chief.
- 23 Q. And by "chief," you're referring to Chief Kyle?
- 24 A. Gene Kyle. I'm sorry.
- 25 Q. And Chief Fautz was the chief of police at the

- responsibilities.
- O. Correct.
- 3 A. My understanding was that she was in charge of the 4 communications radio room. She supervised the supervisors and personnel in the radio room.
- 6 Q. Did it ever occur to you that any changes in phone 7 lines needed to be communicated to Miss Depaepe for any 8 reason?
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. Now, you had testified previously that there had been some knowledge on your part that certain lines were recorded at the South Bend Police Department as early as 12 1.3 your entry into the department. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And again, if you can tell me what 15 your understanding was or your belief was with respect to 17 the recorded lines that existed at the time you came on to 18 the department.
- 19 That the lines at the front desk were recorded initially and that -- on those in the radio room, which were
- 21 the same -- in the same area at that point. The old radio
- room was right behind the front desk. They had what they 22
- 23 called the 9361 numbers before 9-11 -- or before 9-1-1, I
- 24 should say, not September 11th.
- 25 And then later, after the building was removed,

(888) 810-4077

6

7

Page 25

- 1 the -- the phone lines at the front desk continued to be
- 2 recorded, along with the patrol division command area, which
- 3 is right behind the front desk, and those in the radio
- 4 room/communications center. And I -- I'll stop there.
- Q. Okay. Now, we've talked about the change that occurred from when you first started with the department until after -- to the time period after the remodel, that
- there were some additional recordings added at that point in
- 9 time. Is that a fair synopsis?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Were there any other changes that you
- became aware of at any point during your tenure with thedepartment, in terms of recorded lines?
- 14 A. After all of this came to light, I was made aware.
- Q. But during the time frame when you were actually
 at the department, was that the only change that you knew
- of, that being the change at the time of the remodel of the department?
- A. To clarify it, when I learned of this, I was still 20 employed at the police department, so . . .
- Q. I want to talk first about the period of time when
- 22 you were, you know, first coming on at the department. You
- said that the front desk and the radio room were recorded.How did you get that information?
- A. I believe when I was a cadet, my first sergeant
 - U DANIENT DANIENT DE CONTROCTO DE CONTROCTO DE LA CONTROCTOR DE CONTROCT

Page 26

- was a Charles Kusky (phonetic). I think Charles -- the
- 2 sergeant would have told me that, as well as the other
- 3 cadets that worked the desk area.
 - O. So, word of mouth?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- Q. Did you ever go and inquire to anybody else or have any conversations with respect to recordings that were occurring at the time you first came on the department?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. What was your understanding of the reason behind recording these lines? That being the front desk and the radio room.
- A. I guess they wanted the lines recorded in casethey needed to play 'em back if there was something that
- 15 they wanted to listen to.
- Q. Did you ever have the opportunity to make a
- request -- and again, I'm just talking about the initial time on the department. Did you ever have the opportunity
- 19 to make a request for any recordings that were generated by
- 20 either the front desk or the radio room?
- A. The radio room all the time when we were in
- 22 homicide.
- Q. And what would your purposes be for requesting
- 24 those recordings?
 - A. Investigative purposes.

- Q. So, would you agree with me that there was a
- legitimate law enforcement reason behind the recordings that
- 3 were occurring at the front desk and the radio room?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You found it helpful in your role as an officer.
 - Is that correct?
 - MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Vague,
 - MS. DUERRING: I'll rephrase.
- 9 Q. Were you able to gain information from these 10 recordings which was of assistance to you as a police
- 11 officer?
- A. Not so much gain but document information.
- Q. Which is a critical role?
- 14 A. Verification -- you know, like in a homicide case,
- 15 we'd ask for the calls that come to verify, you know, what
- 16 information was given and -- and the time that the calls
- 17 came in. That sort of thing. Not so much gaining but
- 18 documenting and verifying.
- Q. Now, you had indicated, that after the remodel,
- 20 the changes that you were aware of was, that in addition to
- the front desk and the radio room, that the patrol division
- 22 and then the communication center lines were also recorded.
- 23 Is that correct?
- A. Front desk; that room behind the front desk, the
- 25 patrol commander's office; and radio room.

Page 28

Page 27

- Q. How did you become aware of the additions to the recording system?
- MR. PFEIFER: At what time?
 - MS. DUERRING: At the time of this change,
- 5 when there was a remodel.
 - A. Again, I think it was word of mouth.
 - Q. Word of mouth from whom?
- 8 A. I think -- specifically, I don't -- I can't tell
- 9 you who.

2

3

4

6

7

18

- Q. Was this something that was ever discussed at, for example, a roll call?
- 12 A. Not with me.
- Q. Is that something that you would have remembered
- 4 if it would have happened?
- 15 A. Oh, absolutely.
- Q. Were there ever any memos generated with respect
- 17 to these changes that occurred after the remodel?
 - A. Not to my recollection.
- Q. And again, thinking about this time frame after the remodel, did you ever have the opportunity to request
- 21 recordings that were made from any of these lines?
- 22 A. Yeah,
 - MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry to interrupt. But
- I'm not quite sure what you mean by "any of these
- lines," because we had two different periods of

Page 29 time. You mean after the additional lines? 1 A. Well, prior to this, I would have assumed it would MS. DUERRING: After the additional lines. have fallen under probably the -- Chief Horvath, who was in 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 3 charge of services division, and potentially Karen Depaepe. 4 A. I believe I was still working as an investigator 4 Q. During the course of your employment with the at the metro homicide unit as well as in the arson division 5 South Bend Police Department, did you ever have a laptop when I would ask for 9-1-1 calls to be recorded to become assigned to you? part of our case investigation. But never - I cannot 7 A. Yes, I did. recall ever asking for a recording from the front desk or 8 Q. Whose equipment was that? 9 from the commander's office. 9 A. It was -- belonged to the police department. 10 Q. Now, correct me if I'm wrong. Did you indicate 10 Q. Did you have an expectation of privacy with 11 earlier that you were aware that the phone lines to internal 11 respect to your laptop? 12 affairs were recorded? 12 A. The laptop, no. 13 A. No. I was not. 13 Q. Did you ever have a cell phone assigned to you? 14 Q. Okay. When you would request recordings that were 14 A. At one point I did. generated from any of the lines at any period of time, who 15 Q. Whose equipment was the cell phone? did you make the request to? 16 A. That cell phone that I had was the property of the 17 A. It's usually a communications supervisor. And 17 St. Joseph County prosecutor's office. 18 that could vary whoever -- whatever time of day. If I 18 Q. Did you have an expectation of privacy with wanted to go in and -- you know, it could be Jim Moreland, 19 respect to that cell phone? it could have been, you know, anybody who was a supervisor 20 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. It calls for a in the radio room. And I walk in; I'd say, you know, "The 21 legal conclusion. call that just happened, I need a recording"; and I'd have 22 MR. PFEIFER: And objection to the relevance. to fill out a request; and then they'd make a cassette tape. 23 Any cell phone that was property of the St. Joseph 24 Q. Did you ever have to make a request to Karen 24 County prosecutor's office would not be relevant 25 Depace? 25 to this particular case as it relates to Page 30 Page 32 1 A. I'm sure I did over time, because there was times 1 expectation of privacy. 2 she was a -- she was the supervisor in there. 2 MS. DUERRING: Are you instructing him not to 3 Q. Okay. And again, when you would make these 3 requests, were they for law enforcement reasons or personal 4 MR. PFEIFER: No. I didn't say that. 5 reasons? 5 O. Go ahead. 6 A. Oh, never personal. It was always law 6 A. The St. Joseph County prosecutor's office, when 7 enforcement. 7 they issued us the cell phones, they also came with a piece Q. Do you have personal knowledge with respect to how 8 8 of paper that we had to sign acknowledging the fact that we these lines are actually recorded? 9 had no right to privacy on those phones. 10 A. No. I don't know the equipment or -- no. 10 Q. You testified earlier that there was no written 11 Q. Do you have personal knowledge with respect to who 11 policy with respect to phone lines, that you were aware of. 12 authorizes the lines to be recorded? 12 Is that correct? 13 A. I would assume it would be the chief or the 13 A. That's correct. 14 chief's staff. 14 Q. What is the basis for your belief that there is --15 Q. And on what do you base that assumption? that there is -- or excuse me -- that the policy with 16 A. Just I guess the same -- hearsay. That's what my 16 respect to an individual's expectation of privacy does not 17 understanding would be. But again, if I might add that this 17 apply to the phone lines? 18 just goes -- my understanding was the chief designated those 18 A. Phone lines were never considered to be issued 19 three areas and none other. 19 equipment. 20 Q. And again, who -- what is your understanding of 20 Q. Well, you've already testified for us that there

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

respect to the recordings?

who administers the system or maintains the system with

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Compound.

Q. Who administers the system with respect to the

21

22

23

24

25 recordings?

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

was no written policy. Is that correct?

phone line is not issued equipment?

Q. And so what's the basis for your belief that a

MR. PFEIFER: Objection. Asked and answered.

He just said. He just gave the answer to the very same question.

MS. DUERRING: It's a different question.

MR. PFEIFER: No, it isn't.

MR. DIXON: Yeah. He -- his answer was that --

MR. PFEIFER: It's the same question.

MS. DUERRING: Read it back. Actually, read the last two back.

(Record read.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

21

6

7

11

11 Q. Why do you believe that phone lines are not issued 12 equipment?

13 A. My belief is because I'm capable of using any 14 telephone in that building and they all come with different numbers. So, none -- no one particular phone line is issued 16 to me.

17 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Karen 18 Departe with respect to recorded lines at any point?

A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. When did that conversation occur?

A. The conversation occurred approximately at 11,

22 11:15, January 17th, 2012.

23 Q. What were the circumstances surrounding that 24 conversation?

25 A. Information had come to me that -- from my

captain, Brian Young, that he had just had a conversation 2 with an individual who indicated to him that his phone line was being recorded. And I -- he wasn't able to get an answer pertaining to his question, and I then went to see 5 Karen to clarify.

Because it was my understanding that there were no detective bureau lines -- that was, I guess, the basis of the conversation, that there was phone lines in my detective bureau being recorded. And I had no -- absolutely no 10 knowledge of any phone line in that detective bureau that was being recorded, and I was gonna get to the bottom of it.

12 So, I went to see her around 11, 11:15, 17th of 13 January 2012. And I flat-out asked her if she would tell me if there were lines in my detective bureau -- and I'd hate to refer to it that way, but that was my bureau, that was my division. And I wanted to know if there were being --17 recordings being done. And she said yes, there were.

1.8 And I asked her to identify the lines for me. And 19 she told me that the secretary Donna Stevens' line at the 20 front desk in the detective bureau was being recorded.

21 And I said -- and she told me why. Because some 22 people call in there or are transferred from the front desk 23 for whatever reason; for the same reason the front desk was 24 recorded. Apparently somebody at some point made a

determination in recording that line. But I never knew

that. And once she explained it to me, I had actually told

her -- I said, "That actually makes sense."

3 And then I asked her who else was being recorded,

who was the second line. And she told me my line was being

recorded. And that was the request of Chief Boykins the day

he promoted me to division chief.

7 And I said, "Who is the third line?" And she told

8 me it was Captain Brian Young.

9 And when I asked her if there were requests for recording of those calls from any of those three lines, she said, at the specific order of Chief Boykins, she was no

longer able to discuss the matter with me. And our

13 conversation ended.

16

17

18

19

20

21

8

19

14 Q. And the phone number that you had at that point in time was 235-7473. Correct? 15

A. That is correct.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, Counsel. Would you say that number again.

MS. DUERRING: 235-7473.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right. That's what I thought.

MR. PFEIFER: Can I take a break?

22 (Recess taken.)

23 Q. Just a couple more things. I wanted to make sure I understood. Did you actually change the lines or have

Barb change the lines for you on two occasions, or was there

Page 36

Page 35

just one occasion?

2 A. She did it twice.

Q. Two times. Okay. And the first time was when you went from lieutenant to captain, or there was the time 5 before that?

A. No. Lieutenant -- from my lieutenant's office to 6 7 the captain's office.

Q. Okay.

A. And then it was a little more challenging the second time because I had to use a mirror to get in behind equipment to get that -- the code number. But she did it 12 again when I moved into the chief's office in the detective 13 bureau.

14 Q. Now, other than getting the code number and 15 providing it to Barb, was there anything else that you did with respect to this line change?

17 A. I liked my phone, so I unplugged it and took that with me too. 18

Q. What did Barb have to do?

20 A. My understanding, she went back to a telephone equipment room, which would -- I think is in the back part 22 of the building, which used to be like an old typing room in the old building, where the telephone lines and that into 24 the building are -- are in place. And my understanding, as she described it, is unplugging a module off of some pins

(Pages 33 to 36)

with the designated number and unplugging -- and then just 1 2 basically switching 'em and replugging 'em.

Q. Did you actually see her do that, or that's just what she communicated to you?

5 A. Well, again, I guess based on my past experience 6 as an electrician, we also used to install phone lines from 7 time to time, and I -- it was at one -- I don't know. I was back there one day with Barb on another problem, and I was 9 in that room and I actually saw the board, and I could see

that it was a modular type of a thing. And when she 11 described what she did, it made sense.

12 MS. DUERRING: Pass the witness.

13 CROSS EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. WALTON:

3

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

8

15 Q. Okay. Can you tell me again the date of your 16 conversation with Karen Depacpe.

17 A. January 17th, 2012.

18 Q. Okay. And based upon that conversation, she told you that the front-desk girl's lines were being recorded?

MR. PFEIFER: I'm gonna object. That's -the conversation of January 17th, 2012 -- Oh, you're talking about detective bureau? MR. WALTON: Yeah.

24 MR. PFEIFER: Okay. As long as you're 25 talking about detective bureau front desk.

Page 38

MR. WALTON: Right. Because I think you asked -- I'll start over.

MR. PFEIFER: Thank you.

Q. When you talked to her on January 17th, 2012, you wanted to know whose lines in the detective bureau were being recorded, right?

7 A. Yes, sir.

> Q. It's my understanding she told you that the front-desk girl's lines were being recorded?

9 A. Donna Stevens, the detective bureau secretary, 10

11 yes.

12 Q. Okay. And that your phone was being recorded?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. And that Brian Young's line was being recorded?

15 A. Yes, that's correct.

16 Q. Okay. In terms of actually technically

17 transferring a number or changing a recording, you wouldn't

18 know how to do that technically, would you, in your

19 department?

20 A. Changing the phone line?

Q. Yes.

22 A. I am -- as I said, I was an electrician. If I was

23 given access to it, I probably could do it. But I never did

24 it. Okay?

21

25

Q. All right. Do you know what was involved in

actually changing a line that was being recorded?

2 A. I have no idea.

Q. Okay. Would you know from a technical point of

view how to change a telephone number going into one office

versus a telephone number going to the other office as

6 opposed to changing the recording -- changing the recorded

7 line?

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

That's a terrible question. I'm gonna start again.

10 A. Yeah, I agree.

I can answer that by saying by changing the wires

12 physically --

Q. I'm gonna start over.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. Spence, one of the things I'm a little confused by. We talked yesterday about numbers versus lines. And I

wasn't sure which thing you were asking about.

MR. WALTON: I'm getting to that. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.

20 Q. If you asked Barb, I think you said, to change

your telephone number so you kept your number -- And you 21

22 described what she did. Right?

24 Q. So, that would change -- physically change a

number from one office to another, correct, as far as you're

Page 40

Page 39

1 aware?

2 A. Yes.

Q. And you said you may or may not be able to do that

yourself. But you haven't done it, have you?

5 A. I have not done anything physically to the

6 equipment.

7 O. Do you know if -- if there's any difference at all

if you asked Barb to change a recorded line from going from

one office to another in terms of what you would have to do?

10 A. I have no idea how that's done.

11 Q. Okay. And you wouldn't know technically how it's

12 done at all either?

13

A. I've never done that ever.

14 Q. Fair enough.

15 From your experience as a -- as an electrician,

would you think that would be more involved than that?

17 A. It would depend on the equipment.

18 Q. Fair enough.

19 When you moved into your new office, was there

20 certain equipment in that office that was assigned to you? 21

A. Which new office?

22 Q. When you moved into your -- your last office --

23 when you -- when you moved in the office of Rick Bishop. 24

A. Okay.

25 Q. Was there certain equipment in that office that

Page 43 Page 41 departments to record telephone lines? was assigned to that office already? 1 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Vague as to what 2 MR. PFEIFER: I'm gonna object to the form of 2 3 the question unless you narrow it down and talk 3 you mean by "assigned." 4 You can answer. 4 about which telephone lines. 5 MS. DeROSE: I'll object on the grounds that 5 A. The thing that main- -- was remaining in there was 6 it assumes facts that are not in evidence. 6 the computer. 7 7 MR. WALTON: I can't get the facts from him Q. Okay. A. As I said earlier, I physically liked my phone 8 unless I ask him. 8 9 better than the one that was there, and I unplugged it from I'll ask it again. They've made their 10 objection. It's a pretty simple question. my captain's office and carried it in and moved that one in 11 the chief's office to -- Brian Young inherited it. 11 Q. Are you aware from your training and experience 12 that it's a routine practice for police departments 12 Q. Okay. So, certain equipment, like the computer, generally to record telephone calls coming into the office? 13 would have been assigned to the office you were assigned to, 13 14 14 in Rick Bishop's office? MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of the 15 15 A. Yes. question unless you narrow it down to which 16 telephone lines, number one, and you identify 16 Q. Okay. Were you generally aware that the chief makes the determination of what lines were to be recorded? 17 which police department you're talking about. Now 18 you're talking about law enforcement generally. 18 A. I just -- the only ones I knew that -- as I said earlier, I think -- my understanding was whereas he 19 MS. DeROSE: Generally. 19 designated three areas on that police department, and 20 MR, WALTON: I'm entitled to do that. I've 21 those -- earlier it was just two, and then later it became asked as far as his training and experience. 22 22 the three. Q. You can answer the question. 23 MR. PFEIFER: You can answer. 23 Q. Yeah. I guess my question's more simplistic than 24 24 that. Were you generally aware that it was the chief of A. All right. Based on my training and experience, it will vary from -- as I have attended, for example, police that made the decision as to what lines would be 25 Page 44 Page 42 Northwestern's staff and command school. There were 24 law recorded in the department? 1 2 enforcement administrators in my Class 282. And it would MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. Assumes facts, vary from one department to the other. Some did it similar 3 lack of foundation. 4 Go ahead. to South Bend, where they had their front desk and their 5 comm center recorded. Other agencies said every dog-gone MR. PFEIFER: Which department are you 6 phone in their building was recorded. 6 talking about? Any department? 7 MR. WALTON: Yes. South Bend Police 7 O. My question was much simpler than that. It's simply, were you aware that it is a routine practice for 8 9 police departments to record telephone calls coming in to an A. I didn't know -- to answer your question, I didn't 9 office, based upon your training and experience? 10 know other than those three areas that he -- any chief had 10 11 designated any other areas a recorded area. 11 MS. DeROSE: Asked and answered. 12 MR. PFEIFER: And again, I will object to the 12 Q. Fair enough. In terms of the policy of recording lines in the 13 13 form of that question. Q. And is your answer the same? You are aware of department, do you agree that recording lines in police 14 15 that? 15 departments is a routine police practice, based upon your 16 MS. DeROSE: He answered the question, that 16 experience? MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of the 17 it varies from one to another. 17 question unless you identify which lines you're 18 A. Into an office, I can't be specific there. It 18 varies. It's a common practice for police departments to 19 talking about. Are you talking about every line record telephones calls incoming, but it varies how they do 20 in the police department or lines in certain 20 21 areas? 21 it. 22 Q. Thank you. 22 MR. WALTON: I'm talking about recording 23 And that's based upon your training and 23 lines generally. 24 Q. Are you aware, based upon your -- your training experience, right? 25 and experience, that it's a routine practice for police A. Yes, sir.

(888) 810-4077

13

14

21

6

Page 45

Q. And are you aware that the -- from your training and experience, that the recording of telephone lines that come in are generally done for legitimate reasons for the police department in the ordinary course of their business to conduct investigations?

MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of the question unless you specify which telephone lines that you're talking about that are being recorded.

Q. You can answer the question.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

3

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Generally for investigative purposes. Other
 departments use 'em for other purposes. And I know for -from, again, casual conversation with officers from those
 departments there, explained the reasons why those
 recordings are being done.
 - Q. Okay. Are you aware that police departments generally record lines also to investigate complaints about officers?

MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of the question unless you talk about and identify which lines you're talking about.

But you can answer, if you know.

A. I would imagine if there — the call comes in on a taped line, they could use those. Again, I would have been aware that if I talked on the line at the front desk and there was a complaint, they may listen to the call.

Page 46

Q. Okay. The policy you made reference to earlier, which had been previously admitted as Exhibit Four, I'm gonna hand you a copy and ask you if that's the policy you were making reference to in your earlier testimony.

MR. SULLIVAN: And I'm just gonna object that — vague. There was a lot of discussion of earlier testimony that it touched on policy.

But you can answer.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you identify the policy that you're looking at?
- A. It's the Office of Professional Standards, City ofSouth Bend Department, general order.
- Q. Is that -- I'm sorry. Is that the number of the
 policy that you were talking about before in your earlier
 testimony?
- 17 A. I was actually referring to subsection -- I think 18 4(d).
- Q. Okay. So, that's the same one you were talking about earlier?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. This policy, Exhibit Four, deals with
 providing for procedures for receiving, investigating, and
 processing complaints against employees of the South Bend
 Police Department. Is that right?

- A. It's the Office of Professional Standards, yes.
- Q. Okay. The policy stated in this policy identified
- is, quote, The South Bend Police Department will investigate

Page 47

- 4 all complaints against the department or its employees, to
- 5 include third-party and anonymous complaints. Is that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you referred to the -- page five of
- 9 seven, under Section Roman Numeral (IIII)(d), in your
- 10 testimony, indicating, quote, Employees do not have a right
- 11 to privacy for assigned equipment. The department may enter
- 12 and inspect any assigned equipment. Is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - MR. WALTON: I think that's all the questions
- 15 I have. Thank you.
- 16 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. SULLIVAN:
 - 8 Q. Chief, I'm Ed Sullivan. I represent the City of
- 19 South Bend. I have a few questions for you.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And I'm gonna stay with Exhibit Four that
- 22 Mr. Walton was talking to you about. On looks like page
- 23 five of seven, under Roman Number IIII, paragraph (d), in
- 24 that first sentence, based upon your experience with the
 - 5 South Bend Police Department and in your prior positions

Page 48

there, what does the phrase "assigned equipment" mean?

- 2 A. Assigned equipment would be a patrol car; our
- 3 uniforms; our hand -- our weaponry, whether that's shotgun,
- 4 handgun, Tasers; handcuffs, our ammunition; the equipment
- 5 they would issue us for our hazmat in the patrol division.
 - I think in the detective bureau that would also go
- 7 to a -- a assigned cubicle and a detectives car, which has
- 8 various different equipment than what patrol would have.
- 9 Actually, less equipment. But we still have a right to
- 10 examine and inspect detectives' cars.
- Q. Staying with that same paragraph (d) in Exhibit
- 12 Four, the last sentence there says, "The department may
- enter and inspect any assigned equipment." Did I read that
- 14 correctly?
- 15 A. "May enter and inspect any assigned equipment,"
- .6 yes, you did.
- Q. Would you explain what the phrase "enter and inspect" means.
- A. Open -- for example, a squad car. We can have
- them open the car, open the trunk, open up the assigned
- equipment in there, the bags, the duffle bags, glove box.
- 22 And the detective bureau would be open up their -- their --
- and the development outside to open up their the
- 23 their drawers, their -- and their tubs above their -- in
- 24 their cubicle.
- 5 Q. Are you aware of any other meaning for the phrase

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

14

"assigned equipment" under the policy in Exhibit Four?

 A. I guess I will add as I'm sitting here thinking about it, would also be a computer. You know, we understand that we -- e-mail would not be -- that's something that they can look at too.

- Q. Okay. In your capacity as a chief of the investigative division --
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

6

12

19

- O. -- were you a part of any efforts to draft and 10 create a written policy that deals with recording of telephone lines in the department?
 - A. No. When this came to light, I requested one.
- Q. You had requested that of who? 13
- A. I requested that of Mr. Schmuhl in the mayor's 14 15 office, and the city attorney's office.
- O. There's been some discussion about Miss Depaepe, 16 Karen Depaepe, her role as director of communications? 17
- A. Yes. 18
 - Q. Is that your understanding of what her title was?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In your experience as chief of the investigative
- 22 division or as a captain before that or at any time before
- that, are you aware of any director of communications having

O. If anyone in the department, whether a sworn

- investigative duties?
- A. Well, they're not policemen. 25

Page 49

Q. Are you aware of -- I asked you before about any

investigative duties. Are you aware of whether in the

ordinary course of the -- of Miss Depaepe's duties she has

any responsibility for determining inappropriate behavior on the part of officers?

MS. DUERRING: Objection. There's no

7 foundation.

8 Q. You can answer.

A. I guess I'll answer to this regard: As a -- as a

supervisor in the radio room, if she were to be made aware

of some officer's misconduct, I think she would be obligated

to also report that through the chain of command, if that's

13 what you're asking me.

Q. Yeah, that's what I'm getting at.

And her report, under the chain of command, would 15 16

17 A. I think her immediate supervisor would have been

the services division chief. At the time, would have been 18

Gary Horvath. 19

- Q. By "at the time," you're talking about 2011, 2012? 20
- 21 A. Yeah. In recent history.
- 22 Q. Recent history?
- A. Yeah. 23
- 24 Q. Going back, may have been somebody else?
- 25 A. Yeah, It may have been. I don't -- I can't

Page 50

- officer or not, becomes aware of any kind of inappropriate 2
- 3 behavior by an officer, is there a procedure for dealing
- with that?
- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Would you describe that.
- A. Well, it's ethics. We have a code of ethics that
- we do not violate. If I were to encounter an officer -- it
- depends on the situation. If it's something that's -- I
- 10 don't need to immediately intervene and stop as an officer.
- 11 If it is something I would need to intervene and stop, I
- 12 would, then I would enter as a chain of command and I would
- 13 follow the protocols.
- 14 Q. Okay. What about a civilian employee of the 15 department? Is there a procedure or protocol or policy that
- $^{16}\,$ tells a civilian employee how to handle a situation similar,
- 17 where they may become aware of an inappropriate behavior?
- 18 A. I think they have a code of ethics as well. I'm
- 19 remiss in not knowing that policy better than my own as a
- ²⁰ sworn officer, but I think they are held to the same or
- 21 similar standard. If they see something that's going on, as
- 22 a civilian, inappropriate, they need to report that. Or,
- you know, depending on the situation, I guess, I don't know
- that they would need to necessarily intervene, but they
- 25 would need to properly report that.

- remember for sure how long Karen's been in that position.
 - Q. But --
- 3 A. Gary's been in that same position.
 - Q. The title that -- of the person she would report
- to is the chief of the services division?
- 6 A. Services division, yes.
 - O. If I go back to Exhibit Four, in the first page --
- I'm on the very first page, under the portion that says
- 9 "Purpose." Do you see that?
- 10 A. For the purpose?
 - Q. Yes. The purpose of the -- of this policy, right
- at the top. Are you -- do you have that in front of you, 12
- 13

7

11

- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. Okay. "Provide procedures for receiving,
- 16 investigating, and processing complaints against employees
- at the South Bend Police Department." Did I read that 17
- correctly? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Would this policy cover communications between an 21 officer and his spouse?
- A. In what I guess in what regard? I mean --22
- 23 O. That's what I'm asking. Is there any way that
 - anybody would be authorized under this policy to listen to
- 25 communications between an officer and their spouse?

Page 52

MS. DUERRING: Objection again. Lack of foundation.

3 A. I had no -- I guess to give an example, maybe I

- can maybe answer that question. But I think generally this 5
- in place, if it was a call placed by an officer to his
- spouse on a recorded line, with the right authority to 6
- 7 obtain that recording without violating anyone's
- constitutional rights, I think that that would be proper.
- 9 Q. Well, how -- describe the process, as you understand it, for somebody in the police department to
- investigate a complaint against an employee of the South
- Bend Police Department for receiving, investigating, and
- 13 processing complaints against employees at South Bend Police
- Department. What -- Can you describe that process for me. 14
- 15 A. There's a complaint that comes in; it's normally
- 16 received however it is received, whether it be a supervisor
- 17 in patrol, whether detective, civilian; it can be then -- it
- was placed on an advisory form, which is then sent in to the
- Office of Professional Standards. And from there, the 19
- 20 investigation -- and they look at the information and
- 21 proceed as -- accordingly.

1

2

22

23

1

4

5

7

19

20

- Q. Okay. Would you be aware of the filing of that complaint when you were the chief of investigative division?
- 24 A. Not necessarily initially, no, and I may not --
- 25 unless it pertained to one of my personnel, I may not know,

1 Q. In the Office of Professional Standards, is there

Page 55

Page 56

- 2 a -- someone who heads up the Office of Professional
- Standards?
- 4 A. Yes. At the time -- I don't know if he's still
- 5 there or not, but at the time I was there and I'm not
- 6 retired, it was Lieutenant Lee Ross.
- 7 O. Lee Ross?
- A. Yes. 8

11

12

23

1

- 9 Q. And who does Lieutenant Lee Ross -- who did
- 10 Lieutenant Lee Ross answer to?
 - A. The chief of police.
 - Q. Directly to the chief.

13 And finally, Chief, back to this question of

- assigned equipment. In your experience, is the phrase 14
- 15 "assigned equipment" that we talked about in Exhibit Four --
- 16 in that last paragraph of Exhibit Four, did you ever come
- across anyone who interpreted "assigned equipment" to be --17
- under Exhibit Four, to be the telephones or the telephone
- 19 lines in the office you worked in? Did you ever come across
- 20 anybody who had that interpretation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Who?
 - A. Division Chief Gary Horvath.
- 24 Q. And when did you become aware of that
- 25 interpretation?

- again, for some time. But it may then boil down to the end
- 2 when the chief calls his command staff in looking for
- 3 recommendations, I may become aware of it.
 - But a lot of times -- like for example, Jeff
 - Walters fielded many complaints on his patrol officers, that
 - I had no knowledge of or dealings with.
 - Q. If there was an investigation pursuant to the policy that's in Exhibit Four, would there be any record created of that complaint?
- 10 There should be a record in internal affairs.
- 11 Q. Okay. Prior to investigating a complaint, then, 12 under Exhibit Four, would we expect to find a written 13 complaint that would trigger the investigation?
- 14 A. Yes. Either an internal or external complaint.
- 15 But there would be something that would have to initiate an 16 investigation.
- 17
- Q. And who would have records like that? 18
 - A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. No. Who -- who -- where in the police department would you find those records?
- 21 A. Two places. One in the Office of Professional
- 22 Standards, or internal affairs, as well as possibly the
- 23 chief's -- chief of police --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. - office.

A. During this investigation on December -- I'm

- 2 sorry -- in January -- I'm trying to think -- in the
- 3 afternoon in January, Lieutenant Lanchswerdt came to my
- office -- it was after the delivery of a subpoena -- and 4
- 5 informed me that Division Chief Horvath was in his office --
- Lieutenant Lanchswerdt wrote the policies on our police
- 7 department. Okay? He's the one that drafted these. And I
- sought his counsel and some clarification of what we've been 8
- talking about. And he never interpreted, nor did anyone
- that he drafted the policy for, interpret "assigned 10
- 11 equipment" to mean telephones in the police department.
- 12 Chief Horvath attempted to manipulate Lieutenant
- 13
 - Lanchswerdt by having him agree with him that telephones in our police department were assigned equipment, and
- 15
 - Lieutenant Lanchswerdt stood -- stood his ground and said
- 16 no, it was never meant to be and never interpreted to be.
- 17 Now, there may be other officers that thought
- 18 that, but that was not --
- 19 Q. Well, but -- and my question, I wanted to know prior -- in your prior experience with the department. And
 - I appreciate you telling me about the experience you had.
- 22 That was in 2012?
 - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. So, prior to that, is there -- are you
- 25 aware of or have you experienced anyone who's interpreted

Page 57 Page 59 1 to interpose an objection? that to mean the phones or phone lines? 2 MR. YOUNG: No, sir. 2 A. No. Not in my --3 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 3 Q. Not in your experience? BY MR, PFEIFER: A. No. MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. No further questions. 5 Q. Do you remember the question, or do you want me to CROSS EXAMINATION 6 ask you again? 7 BY MR. PFEIFER: I believe I remember the question. 8 Q. I thought you might. Go ahead. You can answer Q. Steve, you were asked -- Steve, you were asked 9 some questions about the recording of certain phone lines as it. 9 10 being for legitimate law enforcement purposes. Do you 10 Yes. For legitimate investigative purposes. 11 Q. Just those phone lines that were being recorded remember a line -- a series of questions about that? 12 that you knew of? 12 Yes, I do. A. Had to have authority and a legitimate reason for 13 Q. With respect to the recording of phone lines, from 13 your perspective, that would be for a legitimate law 14 requesting calls. 15 Q. As far as you were concerned, while you were 15 enforcement purpose, would that be the front-desk phone 16 employed at the South Bend Police Department, when you had a 16 lines? telephone line assigned to you, to your knowledge, did 1.7 A. Yes. Q. Would that be the 9-1-1 lines? 18 you -- or did you ever ask to have your phone line recorded? 18 19 A. No. And I didn't think it was possible. I 19 Yes, it would. actually provided my own recording device that I purchased Q. Would that be the lines that you knew were the 20 equipment on my own and affixed to my phone with a recorder 21 recorded lines at the South Bend Police Department? that stayed by my phone so if there was a time that I needed 22 MR. DIXON: I'm gonna go ahead and object to to record a call that came in that I thought I wanted all of these leading questions based on the fact 23 24 recorded, I did so. 24 that I got a firestorm of objections yesterday on 25 the leading questions of my own witness. 25 Q. So, you would do that with the equipment that you Page 60 Page 58 purchased to assist you in whatever investigation you were So, I'm gonna ask you not to lead your 1 undertaking. Correct? 2 witness. A. Yes. 3 3 O. You can answer. 4 MR. DIXON: I'm just gonna -- a standing MR. DIXON: Well, please stop leading your objection to all your leading questions rather 5 witness. 6 than doing it every question. I'm just gonna have 6 MR. PFEIFER: Are you instructing my client 7 7 a standing objection to all leading questions. not to answer? 8 Q. At any point in time, were you ever told that your MR. DIXON: I don't have the authority to do phone line and the phone number that was assigned to that 9 that. 10 MR. PFEIFER: I didn't think so. 10 phone line was being recorded? 11 11 A. No, I was not. MR, DIXON: I'm just asking -- I'm just 12 objecting to all of your leading questions. 12 Q. That is, before the conversation on January 17th, 13 13 2012, with Karen Depacee? MR. WALTON: My only objection is lack of 14 14 A. There was evidence, I was told, of my -- of foundation. I think it's been established that 15 15 recordings by the chief, that he was waiting for recordings only the police chief can determine which lines to 16 of my telephone, on January the 6th. be recorded. So, unless you can establish a 17 17 Q. So, on January 6th of 2012, were you told by foundation that this witness has that authority, I 18 18 Chief Boykins that your phone line had been recorded? object on that basis. 19 A. He told me he was waiting recorded conversations, MS. DUERRING: And I want to interpose an 20 objection as well, that I believe you're asking 20 and I asked him if they were of me, and he responded by 21 telling me, "They were not necessarily just of you." 21 him to draw a legal conclusion with respect to 22 22 Q. Tell, if you would, me about that conversation. whether or not there's a legitimate law 23 enforcement purpose associated with each of those 23 How did it come about? When was it? How did it come about?

A. I started it actually on January the 3rd, our

25 first day back to work in January, at roll call after the

individual lines.

MR. PFEIFER: Anybody else? Brian, you want

24

15

19

Page 61

general roll call. Normally the command staff, which would consist of the chief, Division Chief Walters, Division Chief Horvath, and myself, we would remain behind and discuss whatever we needed to discuss.

Once the room cleared, I was asked -- Chief Boykins asked Chief Walters first if he had had an interview with the mayor. He responded no. He then asked the same question of Division Chief Horvath, who responded that he had not had an interview with the mayor. And then he asked me if I had had an interview with the mayor. And I was kind of astonished at the belief that he knew that I had an 11 12 interview with the mayor-elect, Pete Buttigieg, and I said yes. And he appeared angry and he said that we needed to talk about that following the roll call.

Q. So, what happened?

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

2

3

6

13

was busy. He sent me away. He told me to come back on Wednesday. I tried to visit him on Wednesday. He would 18 19 send me away. Kept telling me to come back Thursday. I 20 went back Thursday. The same sequence. He told me on Friday that I -- he needed to see me and I was to remain in 21 22 his office. I was down there at nine o'clock, and he had me 23 seated in his lobby for a little over an hour while he had a conversation with the city attorney. And then he called me 25 in to his office.

A. I attempted to see him after the roll call. He

Page 63

and what my strategies might be if named the next chief. 2 And I actually praised Chief Boykins to the mayor

for his work in the community with the youth, the boxing 3

program, conversations I had had with Chief Boykins prior to that about wanting to continue those and possibly expand

those programs in the community because I thought they were 7 very beneficial.

He -- we talked for nearly probably 45 minutes to an hour about all of our previous conversations, how he made it possible for me to go to Northwestern to attain that 10 training for potentially succeeding him as chief of police, whether he'd be replaced or whether he would step down as chief and take another job, which was rumored back in the 13 later part of 2011. 14

And again, that's what led to my surprise that he wasn't aware that I had had an interview. He said that --16 again, that's when he disclosed to me that he was waiting for a recorded conversation to be given to him. And that's when I -- again, as I'll repeat myself, I asked him if those conversations were of me, and he told me not necessarily 21 just of me.

22 I asked him who he had talked to, who had told him 23 that I had been disrespectful to him in the interview with the mayor-elect. I asked him if he had talked to the mayor or Mr. Schmuhl or a lady named Catherine who was present in

Page 62

- Q. Which city attorney? Was it --1
 - A. I think at the time it would have been Andrea.
 - Q. Okay. One of the deputy city attorneys?
- A. I'll take that back. It was -- it was -- I can't 4
- remember his name. It was a male. It was Chuck Leone.

Q. Okay.

A. Once he left, I was called into the office. Chief 7 Boykins asked me a couple of general questions about what 9 kind of high-profile cases were being investigated in the 10 detective bureau, that sort of thing. And then he said on a 11 personal note he no longer considered me to be a loyal 12 employee.

As a matter of fact, he said I was a disloyal 14 employee. He considered me a backstabber. He said that he felt that he had arrows in his back. And as he made the 15 16 comment, he leaned forward and did this with his right hand and said that he had been told by individuals that I had 18 been disrespectful to him during the interview process with the mayor-elect.

19 20 I proceeded to tell him that my interview with the 21 mayor was -- there was nothing negative about the police 22 department. That's why -- I wasn't there to paint the 23 police department in a bad light. I presented him with a 24 strategy plan -- all chiefs -- as I was trained at Northwestern, we have strategy plans as chiefs of police -- Page 64

- the interview with me. There was just the four of us. And
- he said no, he hadn't talked to any one of those
- individuals. And I asked him if he would tell me, please,
- who had told him I was disrespectful towards him in the
- interview, and he told me he'd rather not disclose that 5
- 6 information.

7 And I asked him plant -- flat-out, I said, "Do you find these people that are telling you this information about me to be credible?" And he said no. And I asked him,

"Why are you listening to them? I'll give you my strategy

plan. I will show you that there is nothing that I

presented the mayor that was disrespectful. It was all

13 positive." We needed to make changes on the police

department in a positive way. 14

We talked about how Jeff Walters is his division 15 chief in the uniform division, his disappointment with 16 Walters, who didn't carry his message, who knew full well what kind of changes needed to be made in the uniform 18 division to make the department run smoother, to probably

- 19 decrease the type of complaints that we would get and make
- 21 the lives of detectives a little better because we'd have
- better information to work with in the investigative
- division, and Walters chose to turn a blind eye to those
- problems and not address those. 24

Computer-Assisted Reporters & Videographers

25 We also talked about Horvath, how his

(Pages 61 to 64)

9

10

11

12

16

17

19

8

10

16

18 19

disappointment in Horvath -- how he wanted to get rid of Horvath when he became chief of police, but the former 2 mayor, Lickey, would not allow him to remove him as part of 3 4 the command staff so he ended up stuck with him, and how he believed Horvath to be an elitist type of an attitude. And we bucked -- we kind of bucked horns because I wanted to be 6 7 involved in more policy decision-making and Horvath, for

And when -- simple -- for an example, we get new police cars every year. So, we get so many for the detective bureau and so many for services division and so many for the patrol division. I just asked to be one of the three chiefs that would help -- have an input into the number of cars we purchased and the type, but I wasn't allowed to.

one, was resistant to that.

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

And I asked the chief why wasn't I allowed to. "I'm one of your command staff. Why can't I enter into these conversations, especially if you're pushing me to be your successor? I need to know these things. I need to be involved in the decisions the departments are making as a whole, and they shut me out."

Q. This is -- You're talking about the conversation that you had with the chief?

- A. That happened on Friday, January the 6th.
- Q. Okay. Was there anything else in that 25

wanting to make him angry, and assuming -- I got wrongfully

- so -- and I apologized to him that day. I again repeatedly 2
- said, "I thought you were very well aware of my interview
- with the mayor, and I'm sorry I didn't come to you." But again, "Thinking you were well aware, I offer you my

apologies."

O. Was there any other conversation after the conversation of January 6th?

A. Yes, there was.

Q. Tell us about the next conversation that you had.

A. Well, on the weekend, I disclosed to my wife what had taken place, obviously upset. I returned to see him on Monday the 9th of January. And basically -- it was in the morning. And basically it was a repeat of what I've just explained to you that happened on January the 6th.

Again, I apologized and I tried to convey that, you know, the interview was positive and all of what I've just said. And he still maintained that he -- I was disrespectful, that I was - I was a backstabber. It wasn't pleasant for him to be named -- renamed chief of police 21 because of what I had done and others that he had heard on these recordings. 22

And I went away, and I returned to him again on 23 January the 12th, and -- in the afternoon. I asked if I could speak with him again. I wanted to try to clear the

Page 68

Page 67

conversation that was mentioned about recordings that Chief Boykins -- then-chief Boykins was waiting for pertaining to you and others?

A. Well, yes. He was waiting for those to be delivered to him. The what -- his language didn't suggest to me that he had listened to anything at that point, but then he said that he was going to -- once those recordings were delivered to him, he was going to wait a matter of four to six weeks after the mayor settled into his new office before he would begin airing dirty laundry of the police department, and then he would decide whether or not that he was going to demote me, whether he would discipline me or even terminate me as a police officer on the police department.

So, I was simply astonished. And -- as again back and forth and I had tried repeatedly to reassure him that I had not been disrespectful, that I was surprised that he was not aware of the conversation and maybe that I was remiss, maybe I should have come to tell him specifically.

But again, in those earlier conversations in the 21 months -- in the two years that I was chief with him, he made it very well aware, unless he wanted to talk about 22 certain subjects with me or any of his command staff, unless he brought that up, we weren't to really go there with him.

So, again trying to be respectful of him and not

- air. And still astonished that he was still telling me he
- was waiting for recordings to be delivered to him of me and 2
- others, that we were backstabbers and disloyal, that he was 3
- gonna continue with this four-to-six-week regimen of waiting 4
- for the new mayor to settle in before he would either 5
- discipline me, demote me, or terminate me. And again, it
- 7 was just a repeat of January 6th and January 9th.

O. Was the next conversation that you had with anyone after January 12th pertaining to recordings -- was that the conversation of January 17th with Karen Depaepe?

11 A. Actually, the next conversation I had about 12 recordings was with my captain when he came in in the morning and told me that he had heard rumors of these 13 recordings of his phone line, that he had gone to see Diana Scott, who is Karen Depaepe's assistant. 15

O. And your captain, just for the record, was Brian 17 Young?

A. Was Captain Brian Young.

Q. Okay.

A. Captain Young -- Brian Young explained to me that 20 21 he had been hearing the same rumors. I had talked to him on

the 16th -- or actually, the 9th, I had told him -- I

disclosed to him before my conversation with Chief Boykins 23

that -- what I had been told on the 6th. 24

25 And from information that we had learned on the --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 /

Page 69

on January the 16th, when Brian entered my office on the 17th, he said he had gone to talk to Diana Scott and asked her if his line was being recorded. He told me that she confirmed his line was being recorded. And when the captain asked Diana Scott if anyone had requested recordings of his recorded conversations, Diana -- the captain told me that her response to that question was, "That request has not been made of her" -- or "of me."

The captain came into the office and said he had just -- he wasn't happy, he was upset. He said he had just been told by her that his line was being recorded. And 11 again, as I said earlier, I was -- I was gonna get to the bottom of it, because it was my understanding that there 13 were no lines in my detective bureau recorded and now he's coming, telling me that she's saying that there were. And that's when I went to see Karen.

- Q. And that is what then led you to go see Karen on January 17th of 2012. And you've told us about that?
 - A. That is correct.

2 3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now, did you become aware of a situation from 21 Captain Young that in August of 2011 there was some indication that Captain Young's line was being recorded?

> MR, DIXON: I'm gonna interrupt, not necessarily object. What I need at this point, Dan, is confirmation from you, recognition of

Page 71

MR. PFEIFER: I understand what you're asking, Tom. I -- I've been doing this for 34 years and I --

MR. DIXON: It's a simple question. Yes or no?

MR. PFEIFER: I don't understand what you mean by a standing objection.

MR. DIXON: So it's preserved.

MR. PFEIFER: I've never heard of it.

MR. DIXON: My objections are preserved so that if we come -- if the issues come up down the road, I can raise the objection with the court that that answer should be stricken because the question was leading -- was inappropriately leading.

It's the same thing as if I -- if every time you ask a leading question I interpose an objection that it's leading. And eventually it gets to the court. The court's gonna rule on that objection. And if it sustains my objection, the answer's not gonna be read into evidence.

MR. PFEIFER: Only because -- and I'm not real experienced in this whole arena. So maybe it's my lack of knowledge. I don't know what a standing objection is. I've never heard of that

Page 70

my -- of my ongoing objections to your leading questions. Otherwise, I'll have to object each time.

But if you're okay with me just doing a standing objection on the record, then I won't need to object each time you ask a leading question.

MR. PFEIFER: That --

MR. DIXON: I thought I had your confirmation. But I just want to make sure on the record that I do.

MR. PFEIFER: Yeah. I mean, that question right there was a preliminary question, which leading questions are permissible as preliminary to get into a particular topic.

MR, DIXON: I'm just -- I'm just asking generally, as a matter of form for us to expedite the deposition, if you're gonna allow me to preserve my "leading question" objections or if you want me to interpose 'em every time I believe that you're inappropriately asking him a leading question.

MR. PFEIFER: I --

MR. DIXON: I just need your confirmation that I don't have to --

phrase before. I know you talked about it vesterday. But I just -- is a standing objection different than a sitting objection? I just -- I don't know.

MR. DIXON: Maybe somebody else -- maybe somebody else -- maybe this is a concept that I know that comes from my prior practice of law. I don't know. Maybe somebody else --

MR. SULLIVAN: Off the record real quick. (Discussion held off the record.)

MR. DIXON: Just to go back on the record. My understanding is that at the time I raised that issue before, that my objections to the form specifically only though, because that was all I raised was to leading questions, that I was preserving my right to raise those objections in the future. And I didn't have any opposition from you and then --

MR, PFEIFER: Well, you said that. I don't -- you know, I didn't agree to anything, Tom. I'm not trying to be difficult, but I didn't agree to anything. You made an objection. I listened and then -- then I went on. I mean, I --

MR. DIXON: Well, okay. I didn't stipulate to anything with my client with regard to his

Page 73 MR. SULLIVAN: Mr. Dixon asked to be on. 1 deposition either, yesterday, so . . . 1 That's fine. For purposes of moving forward, I MR. SULLIVAN: That's not true, Tom. You --2 2 think that counsel -- Mr. Pfeifer has stated that you said that -- you showed a standing objection 3 3 he's gonna follow the rules. And so you need to or that you preserved all objections. 4 4 object at the time the question is. MR. DIXON: No, no, no. I'm talking about my 5 5 MR. DIXON: That's fine. 6 client's use of his deposition when I give it to 6 MR. SULLIVAN: Then let's move forward. him to read. I didn't -- I didn't enter into any 7 7 MR. DIXON: And the only record I need to 8 stipulations about that either. 8 make at this point is that I'm -- that it's my 9 9 MR. SULLIVAN: Sorry. I thought you meant on understanding that my -- that I preserved my 10 this issue. 10 objections. You don't have to agree to that. I 11 MR. PFEIFER: The issue -- I don't care if 11 just need to make that on the record, that I your -- I don't care what your client does with 12 12 preserved my objections to leading questions when your deposition. The whole issue yesterday that 13 1.3 14 I raised 'em last time. came up about use of deposition was that Karen 14 I know you don't agree with that. So at this 15 Depaepe would not review Chief -- or Darryl 15 point -- from this point forward, we're gonna --Boykins' deposition prior to the resumption of her 16 16 I'll object every time I think you have a leading 17 1.7 testimony. question. 18 MR. DIXON: I didn't stipulate to that on 18 MR. PFEIFER: That's fine. 19 behalf of my client. If my client reads the 19 (Brief recess taken.) 20 deposition and wants to share it with Karen 20 MR. DIXON: Are we back on the record? Depacpe, I never stipulated to that. That was 21 21 MR. PFEIFER: Yes. something that now it's kind of the same thing, 22 22 MR. DIXON: Then I would like to, at this because I understand that's what you were seeking 23 23 juncture, lodge my objection to every question from Mari, and that's the stipulation that you got 24 24 that Mr. Pfeifer has asked that has been a leading 25 from Mari, but you never got that -- I was 25 Page 76 Page 74 question up to this point. 1 silenced during that whole period of time. 1 (Record read.) 2 MR. PFEIFER: Tom, I am perfectly fine. If 2 MR. DIXON: Objection. Leading. you want to play that game, have at it. 3 3 O. You can answer the question. 4 MR. DIXON: You're the one who -- you're the 4 A. Yes, I was made aware of a situation. 5 5 one who started this game, Dan. O. Tell us about that. MR. PFEIFER: I didn't start anything. 6 6 MS. DUERRING: And I guess just -- I'm going MR, DIXON: You're the one who said I'm 7 7 to object that these discussions about 8 sitting here silent; "therefore, I'm not 8 conversations are beyond the scope of the question 9 recognizing that you had a" -- "you had a standing 9 that we are here to address at this phase in objection to the form of my questions when they 10 10 discovery, which is the legality of the 11 were leading." 11 recordings. And I don't see that the 12 MR. PFEIFER: I have no --12 conversations have any relevance to that MR. DIXON: And you said, "No, I've never 13 13 preliminary determination. heard of that before. I didn't comment on it. I 14 14 Q. You can answer the question. 15 just moved forward. I didn't have anything to do 15 A. Okay. The conversation between Captain Young and 16 with that." 16 I consisted of -- he told me of an incident back in August 17 MR. PFEIFER: I don't even know why we're 17 of 2011. It was also something that Karen referred to in my involved in this dialogue, quite frankly. I have 18 conversation with her on the 17th of January, about an no obligation to respond to an objection that you 19 equipment failure. At that point in August 2011, apparently 20 make. Show me someplace in the trial rule that 20 21 the recording system had malfunctioned or there was a says I have an obligation to respond to an 21 problem. I'm not certain what that problem was or what it 22 objection you make. I don't. MS. DUERRING: Do we want to keep this on the 23 took to fix it. 23 But Captain Young said that Captain Phil Trent had 24 record? Because we're burning up pages and pages 24 25 visited him during this breakdown of equipment and asked of transcript with this discussion. 25

(888) 810-4077

him, apparently, if he had knowledge that his phone line was 1 being recorded or if he had requested his phone line to be recorded, and Captain Young -- Brian Young's response to 3 both was no, he was not aware and "I did not make the request."

He asked Captain Trent if the con- -- if the 6 recording could stop immediately and if any and all of his conversations that had been stored could be destroyed. And Captain Young said that Captain Trent returned to his office some point in time later -- I don't know if that was that same day or within a 48-hour time frame -- I'm not sure 11 12 when -- but Captain Young said that Captain Trent did return to his office and said that he had made certain that the recording that was being done at that point prior to the

16 were stored had been destroyed. Q. With respect to the phone number and phone line that you used as the division chief of the investigative 18 division, did you have an expectation of privacy as it pertains to that particular phone line?

15 breakdown had been stopped and that the conversations that

A. Yes, I did.

17

19

20

3

4

5

6

7

13

21 Q. At any point in time when you had a private 22 number, this 7473 number, and line that you utilized with the South Bend Police Department, did you ever ask that the line be recorded?

Page 78

A. No, I did not, because I did not believe it was 1 2 possible.

Q. If, in fact, you knew it was being recorded, what would you have done?

MR. DIXON: Objection. Leading.

O. You can answer.

A. I would have allowed it to continue. First I would have sought clarification as to why and who ordered it recorded. And once I had an understanding of that, I would have had no objection to it, because I do not conduct business or say things that I don't -- are afraid for anyone 11 12 else to hear.

Q. Who is Barb Halaman?

A. Barb Halaman is -- was Chief Boykins' secretary in 14 15 the front office.

O. So, when you went to have the 7473 line switched 16 from location to location depending upon where you were 17 working, why did you go to her?

19 A. It's my understanding from her that she had control of the telephone system, that any repairs that needed to be made, extra equipment that we wanted to be ordered, everything telephone-related to the equipment had 23 to go through her.

And as I think I said earlier, I initially went to her to ask her to make the contact with the phone company to

make the change, but she had informed me that she was quite capable of doing that herself.

Q. You mentioned in response to one of the questions 3 that was asked that if a recorded phone conversation -- if you wanted to request a recorded phone conversation, you would have to go to the communication division and talk to one of the supervisors and fill out a form. Correct?

8 A. Yes.

9

16

23

24

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

MR. DIXON: Objection. Leading.

Q. What is your understanding as to why a form needed 10 11 to be completed?

A. It documents the purpose and the reason for 12

13 requesting that conversation.

Q. Who was Karen Depaepe's supervisor while you were 14 the division chief of the investigative division? 15

A. To my knowledge, her immediate supervisor was 17 Division Chief Gary Horvath.

Q. I believe it was Mr. Sullivan or one of the 18 19 attorneys asked you whether or not you believed Karen

20 Depace had the authority to investigate a particular

21 situation if she learned of police misconduct. Do you remember that line of questioning? 22

A. Yes, I do.

Q. From your perspective, did or didn't Karen Depaepe have the authority to conduct an investigation if, in fact,

she believed a police officer had been guilty of misconduct? 1

MR. DIXON: Objection. Leading.

O. You can answer.

A. She did not. As I said, the proper channels would

have to be followed. She would have to bring that

misconduct to the proper authority, and that would be the 6

Office of Professional Standards, who would conduct the 7

investigation. She may be called upon to provide them

information requested of her with authority and legitimacy, 9

say a recorded conversation or something of that nature. 10

But she was not ever charged -- that's not her capacity to 11

12 perform investigations.

Q. And the Office of Professional Standards was also called what?

A. Internal affairs.

MR. PFEIFER: I don't have anything else.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17

BY MR. DIXON: 18

Q. I'm gonna bring us way back to early on. 19

A. Okay. 20

Q. You had indicated, when you were talking about 21 when you came on, your understanding of what phone lines 22

were recorded. And then at some point, you said "And then 23

he added" -- "he designated the third lines" -- or "line to 24

25, be recorded." Do you remember that testimony?

9

10

13

14

18

19

Page 81

1 A. Yes.

2

3

- Q. So, the first -- the third line was what? The records division?
- A. The third line's never I don't know of any of the phone lines in the records division. I said there was the command staff office, the front desk, and communications center.
- Q. So, the communications was the third one you were referring to?
- 10 A. Communications would have been all along. The 11 communications/radio room.
- Q. Well, let me ask this: When you said he added the -- he added third -- when you were talking about somebody designating a line or additional lines to be recorded and that was the -- you're referring to the third, what -- first of all, what line or lines were you talking about there?
- 18 A. The lines in the patrol command commander's 19 office.
- Q. Okay. And who is the person who designated that?
- A. I would assume it would be the chief of police at the time.
- Q. And who was that?
- A. At the time of the remodel, I believe it was
- 25 Chief Fautz.

Page

- Q. And when you were working for the -- When you had a telephone with the county -- with the prosecutor's office, they had a piece of paper that you signed that said you have no privacy rights in this telephone?
- A. Yes, I remember.
- Q. There's no such similar piece of paper that
 occurred with anything with South Bend Police Department;
 right?
- 9 A. To my knowledge, there was never -- I was never 10 presented a South Bend Police Department phone.
- Q. Well, let me ask this question: You did have a South Bend Police Department computer, right?
- 13 A. Desktop or laptop?
- 14 Q. Well, you had -- you testified earlier that you
- 15 believed that when -- this no right of privacy per this
 - 6 Exhibit Four included computers. Right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And you had a computer that was -- that you agreed
- 19 was equipment of the South Bend Police Department. Right?
- 20 A. Right. I had a desktop and a laptop.
- Q. Okay. And were you required to sign a piece of
- 22 paper, when you were given either one of those, that said
- 23 there -- similar to the piece of paper you had with the
- 24 county with a -- the cell phone, that said you recognized
 - 5 you have no right of privacy in this?

- A. No.
- Q. In fact, people can disagree or have different
 understandings of what that policy means with regard to
 equipment, but nobody can disagree that nobody -- that it
 was never required that anybody sign a piece of paper with
 regard to any specific piece of equipment saying -acknowledging they had no right of privacy?

MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of the question.

- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. What we had to do is this -- we had to sign for acknowledging this policy.
 - Q. Okay. Let me -- I'll ask it -- It was very --
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. It's always bad for an attorney halfway into his question to try to remember what the question was that he was gonna ask, so I'll make it much simpler.

You were assigned a police vehicle. Correct?

- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And that's equipment of the South Bend Police Department?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. When that -- when you were assigned a police vehicle, you didn't have to sign a piece of paper that said,
 - 5 "I acknowledge being assigned this particular vehicle and I

Page 84

Page 83

- $1\quad \text{acknowledge that I have no right of privacy in this}$
- 2 vehicle"?
- 3 A. I signed for this policy.
 - Q. That policy in general?
- 5 A. Yes.

9

18

23

- 6 Q. As to each specific individual piece of equipment
- 7 that belongs to the city, you didn't have to sign
- 8 recognizing that you didn't have a right of privacy. Right?
 - A. No, I didn't.
- 10 Q. And neither -- as far as you know, neither did
- 11 anybody else?
- 12 A. No. We all had to sign for this policy.
- Q. You also testified that when -- when the system -- the recording system changed, the only way that people
- de la contraction de la contra
- 15 became aware of a phone going from an unrecorded to a
- 16 recorded status was by word of mouth. Do you remember that?
- 17 A. Uh-huh. For me.
 - Q. Well, if the -- if the South Bend Police
- 19 Department had a -- a policy that said, Every time we record
- 20 a new phone line or every time a new phone line is recorded,
- 21 we're going to have everybody sign off on it, you would know
- 22 of that policy, right, during your tenure?
 - A. I would have, yes.
- Q. And you never saw anything like that?
- 25 A. No.

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

11

12

14

21

Page 85 Q. It's your understanding it was within the chief's 1 1 authority to designate which lines were to be -- are to be 2 3 recorded? 3 4 A. It's my understanding that he designated three 4 5

areas. I don't -- as I said earlier, I don't believe I have any knowledge that there are any other phones, besides those 7 three areas of the police department, that were recorded.

Q. But that was his authority to do, the chief's?

A. I would imagine. But again, I -- other than -- I 10 just want to make it clear that I did not know of any other lines other than the three areas.

Q. And I don't think that you're alone on that. I 13 think there are other division chiefs whose lines were recorded -- Actually, yours wasn't -- but others whose lines were recorded, and they were not aware of that.

MR. PFEIFER: I'm gonna object and move to strike the comments, testimony, statement. MR. DIXON: Well, let me just rephrase it in

the form of a question so we don't have to go through the whole striking.

21 Q. But are you aware that there were other division 22 chiefs whose lines were recorded and they were unaware of 23 that?

24 A. No, I was not.

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

3

25 Q. When you picked up that telephone and moved from A. No.

Q. So, his interpretation of policy would be no different than your interpretation of policy?

MR. PFEIFER: Objection. Argumentative.

Page 87

Page 88

Q. In terms of its authority, I guess, is the right way to ask that question.

MR. PFEIFER: Same objection. Still argumentative.

Q. You can answer it.

A. The honest answer is, that's why I sought his 11 counsel, is he does have a better understanding and he has 12 had specific training as to the writing of our policies. And that's why I sought his advice, his clarification on 14 this one.

Q. But the ultimate authority in your chain of command, in terms of policy, is the chief of police?

A. Oh, yeah. Absolutely.

MS. DeROSE: Just for point of clarification. The final determiner of policy in the police department is the board of public safety; isn't that right?

MR. DIXON: Wait. You can -- it's not -- I'm still asking questions.

MS. DeROSE: Well, your question contained an incorrect, so I want to clarify the question.

Page 86

MR. DIXON: But that's okay. You can --

Well, I'll let it slide. We're --

You can go ahead and answer that question.

THE DEPONENT: Yes, you're absolutely

5 6 Q. Within the police department itself, who's the ultimate -- as your understanding in 29 years on the department, who's the party --

9 A. Most offices recognize that position to be the 10 chief of police.

Q. Thank you.

You later learned that your line was never 13 recorded?

A. I have been told that.

15 Q. Let me strike that. Let me make it more accurate.

16 -- that your phone number, the phone number that 17 you used, was never recorded?

18 A. I've been told that it was -- there was -- but 19 that I am probably on the line of Captain Brian Young's

20 line, which was recorded.

Q. It's fair to say that had you not done the 22 getting up under the desk and doing the codings so that you 23 could keep your phone number from, first, lieutenant to captain, then captain to chief, that it actually would have

25 been your phone line -- when you became chief, it would have

the lieutenant's office into the captain's office -

2 A. Yes, sir.

Q. -- whose phone was that?

A. The piece of equipment belonged -- actually, it belongs to AT&T. I guess it's rented by the City of South

Bend, and it belonged -- and left at the police department

for us to use.

Q. If you had to walk into somebody else's office to check your e-mail on their computer, you could have done 10 that. Correct?

11 A. I guess.

12 Q. If you were out on a call and your car wasn't 13 working and you had to get -- in an emergency and you had to 14 jump in somebody else's car, you could do that. Right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You testified earlier that Lieutenant Lanchswerdt 17 wrote the policies?

18 A. Yes, he did.

19 Q. Is it fair to say that the policy is set by the 20 chief? That's what you testified to earlier?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And that Lanchswerdt is the one who had put the

23 policy in writing?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. But he didn't set policy, did he?

4

7

13

25

1

4

6

9

13

Page 89

been your phone line that was recorded because you would have had the 6031 exchange. Right?

3 A. It wasn't so much what I did under the desk. If I

- hadn't asked Barb to make that switch in the telephone room,
- on the terminals -- that's where the jacks were actually
- 6 controlled from.

7

11

- Q. Yeah. But you --
- 8 A. -- I would have -- I would have had that line.
- Q. Somebody needed to get up under there to read the 10 code so that she would know where to switch those?
 - A. Yeah.

12 MR. DIXON: I think -- let me -- give me just 13 one minute to look through this real quickly, 14 because I think those were the only questions I 15 had. I was gonna clarify the board of public 16 safety, but Aladean beat me to it.

- Q. You did say that Chief Boykins was grooming you to 17 18 be his successor?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And, in fact, he took you out to the boxing program on your request. Right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And he showed you some of the other programs that
- 24 he was involved in that you wanted to know about when --
- 25 when you became his successor or if you became his

in the police department? 1

- 2 A. Why would I?
 - MR. DIXON: I don't have any further
 - questions.
- 5 **CROSS EXAMINATION**
- 6 BY MS. DUERRING:
 - Q. I'd like to direct your attention back to the line
- 8 of questioning that dealt with Karen's ability to
- 9 investigate information that came to her attention. Okay?
- 10 I believe you testified previously that your position is, is
- that Karen does not have any investigative responsibilities.
- 12 Is that a --
 - A. That's my understanding.
- 14 Q. Do you supervise Karen Depaepe in any capacity?
- 15 A. No, I do not.
- 16 Q. Have you ever been present or asked to evaluate 17 her in any capacity?
- 18 A. No. But a clarification. I guess if push were to come to shove, as a staff command officer on the South Bend 19
- 20 Police Department, if given the -- I guess a proper event,
- 21 if I were to be the -- in the absence of the chief of police
- or her immediate supervisor, if I were the officer in
- 23 charge, I could -- then basically, then, yeah, I would be
- 24 her supervisor. But --
 - Q. Were you ever -- Go ahead and finish.

Page 90

Page 92

Page 91

- successor. Right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you guys went out to dinner and had a
- conversation about the types of things that you would be
- interested in learning more about to become the chief of
- 6 police. Right?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And then when you had this conversation with him
- in January -- or these conversations in January, it sounds
- like he confided in you quite a bit about Chief Horvath and
- 11 Chief Walters?
- 12 A. That's what he told me.
- 13 Q. So, even at that point after -- after all that and
- you said he was very angry with you and upset with you, he
- 15 was still confiding in you in what he thought of some of the
- 16 other --
- 17 A. Maybe to clarify, we had talked about our previous
- 18 discussions. As I said, we had two years of conversations,
- 19 and we discussed those conversations in those discussions in
- January. And that's why I was trying to convince him that
- 21 obviously, you know, what -- our relationship, the way it
- 22 was, why would he think that I had backstabbed him and I was
- now the disloyal employee. 23
- 24 Q. And you also testified that you would -- you would
- 25 not have had any problem with your phone line being recorded

- A. -- in that capacity only.
- 2 Q. Were you ever called upon to act as a supervisor 3 of Karen Depaepe?
 - Not to my knowledge.
- 5 Q. Are you directly in her chain of command?
 - A. No, I'm not.
- 7 Q. Are you familiar with the position description of 8 communications director?
 - A. Per -- No.
- 10 Q. Is it fair to say that you are not familiar with
- 11 the communications director's responsibilities or job
- 12 functions?
 - A. Each and every one of her functions, no.
- 14 Q. Would you agree that the chief of police is in the
- 15 communications director's chain of command?
- 16 A. Yes, he is.
- 17 Q. You agree that you did not personally own the 18 phone that sat on your desk. Correct?
- 19 A. I did not.
- 20 Q. You did not own the lines that ran to that phone.
- 21 Correct?
- 22 A. I did not.
 - Q. You would agree with me that those phones and those lines are the resources of the department?

MR. PFEIFER: I'm gonna object to the form of

23

8

Page 93

the question unless you define what "resources" means.

Q. They are either owned by the department or paid for by the department.

MR. PFEIFER: Objection. Compound question.

- 6 Q. Would you agree that the phone and the lines are owned by the department?
 - A. I would not agree they're owned by the department.
- 9 Q. Would you agree that they are paid for by the 10 department?
- 11 A. I believe they're rented or -- if you will, from
- 12 AT&T. They're property of that company.
- 13 Q. And you are not responsible for any portion of 14 that bill. Correct?
- 15

1 2

3

- 16 Q. Now, with respect to Exhibit Four, there isn't a definition section attached to Exhibit Four. Is that 17 18 correct?
- 19 I believe there is.
- 20 Q. Is there a specific definition as far as "assigned 21 equipment"?
- 22 A. Not that I'm aware of.

interpretation. Correct?

- 23 Q. Now, you have provided us with your interpretation
- of what "assigned equipment" consists of. Is that correct? 24

Q. And you have also indicated that another officer,

Q. Now, you indicated that the chief of police was

Q. Well, ultimately the board of public safety and

the one that was responsible for the policy. Correct?

A. Yes, I have. And I've been corrected.

the chief of police. Would you agree with that?

specifically Gary Horvath, would disagree with your

25 A. Yes, I have.

A. Yes.

4

7

10

11

12

19

22

Page 95

1 se. I think he was acting at the direction of the chief to

- draft the policy.
 - O. Okay. Fair enough.
- 4 Did you ever go to the chief to determine what his interpretation was of the policy that's been discussed at 6 length today?
- 7 A. After this came to light, no, we weren't --
 - Q. Did you ever go to the board of public safety to
- determine what their interpretation is of the policy
- 10 specifically with respect to this notion of assigned 11 equipment?
- 12 A. No, I did not.
- 13 Q. So, the information that you've provided today is 14 your interpretation of that policy?
- 15
- 16 Q. You had indicated that you needed to fill out a 17 form when you requested a recording of a phone call. Where 18 did you get the forms?
- 19 A. The form is usually in the communication center.
- 20 Q. And was there a particular individual that you had 21 to obtain those forms from?
- 22 A. They are -- to my recollection, they were on a
- 23 clipboard in the supervisor's office in the comm center.
- And you went in -- you could call ahead. They could get
- 25 started on the request. But once you got there, whoever you

sent in your stead to pick up the tape had to complete that

2 form.

6

- 3 Q. What were they called?
- A. Pardon? 4
- 5 Q. What were they called?
 - A. The forms?
- 7 Q. Uh-huh.
- 8 A. I think they're requests for 9-1-1 calls. I'm not
- sure of the specific title.
- Q. What information did you have to provide on those MR. PFEIFER: Object to the form of that 10 11 forms? question. It's one or the other.
- Q. The chief of police is the individual within your 12
- department that acts out the directives of the board of public safety with respect to department policy. Would you
- 15 agree with that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. All right. Lanchswerdt was the one that drafted the policy. Correct? 18
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So, in essence, he was acting as the chief's court reporter when that was drafted. Correct?
 - MR. WALTON: Objection. Argumentative.
- 23 Object to the form of the question.
- 24 Q. You can go ahead and answer.
- 25 A. I don't know if he would be a court reporter per

- A. Case number, victim's name oftentimes, the time
- 13 and date of the request, and type of -- my recollection is
- the type of incident you're investigating. 14
- 15 Q. Would you maintain a copy of those forms in your
- 16 individual file that related to the request?
 - A. No, I wouldn't.
- Q. If you needed to make a phone call, could you go 18
- 19 into anyone's office to make the phone call?
- 20
- 21 Q. After this conversation with Karen that you've
- 22 discussed where you were informed that your phone line was
 - recorded, did you request, after learning that information,
- 24 for the recording on your line to be stopped?
 - A. I didn't. No, I did not. And the reason being,

	Page 97		Page 99
1	as I said, the reason our conversation ended is that she	1	
2	said, at that point, due to the specific orders given to her	_	Notary Public
3	by Chief Boykins, she could no longer discuss the situation	2	County of Residence:
4	with me, and our conversation ended.		
5	Q. Did you follow up with anybody, in terms of your	3	My commission expires:
6	chain of command, to make a request to stop recordings on		
7	your line?	4	
8	A. From my chain of command would have been the	5 6	
9	chief. And the next step would have been with the went	7	
	to Mr. Schmuhl at the mayor's office.	8	
10	•	9	
11	Q. So, is the answer to my question no?	10	
12	A. "No."	11	
13	MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. Pass the witness.	12	
14	MR. DIXON: Could I get a clarification of	13	
15	just that last answer.	14	
16	THE DEPONENT: No, I did not make a request	15	
17	of anybody at the police department.	16	
18	MR. WALTON: I have no questions.	17 18	
19	MR. SULLIVAN: No questions.	19	
20	MR. PFEIFER: We'll read and sign. I'll take	20	
21	a copy.	21	
22	(Deposition concluded at 4:33 p.m.)	22	
23		23	
24	000	24	
25		25	
	Page 98		Page 100
1			
т	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	STATE OF INDIANA)
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA	1	STATE OF INDIANA))SS:
2		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,))SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE)
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND,)	2)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, ys.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475	2)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 Defendants.)	2 3 4)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th
2 3 4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS,)	2 3 4 5)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison
2 3 4 5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, ys.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND,)CAUSE NO.:	2 3 4 5)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about
2 3 4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS,)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve
2 3 4 5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DCAUSE NO.: ET AL.,)3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, Plaintiffs,)3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff, ys.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, Plaintiffs, ys.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department,)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs,)3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, ET AL.,)3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND,)CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs,)3:12-ev-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting) Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as) Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE,)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DCAUSE NO.: ET AL., 3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, 3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEAUSE NO.: ET AL., J3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, J3:12-ev-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DCAUSE NO.: ET AL., 3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, 3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEAUSE NO.: ET AL., 3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, 3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEAUSE NO.: ET AL., 3:12:ev-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, 3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition; Any corrections to my deposition are reflected on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DCAUSE NO.: ET AL., 3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, 3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition; Any corrections to my deposition are reflected on the attached ernata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEFAL., J3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, J3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition are reflected on the attached ernata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached; Dated this day of,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition proceedings;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEFAL, Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, DAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs,)3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants. DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition; Any corrections to my deposition are reflected on the attached ernata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached; Dated this day of, 2013.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21)SS: COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition proceedings; I further certify that present during the taking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEFAL., J3:12:cv-475 Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, CAUSE NO.: Plaintiffs, J3:12-cv-532-JVB-CAN vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition are reflected on the attached ernata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached; Dated this day of,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition proceedings; I further certify that present during the taking of the deposition were the following attorneys: Edward A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEFAL, Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, Vs.) THE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition; Any corrections to my deposition are reflected on the attached errata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached; Dated this day of STEVE RICHMOND	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition proceedings; I further certify that present during the taking of the deposition were the following attorneys: Edward A. Sullivan, III; Aladean M. DeRose; Daniel Pfeifer; E. Spencer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Plaintiff,) vs.) SOUTH BEND COMMON COUNCIL, DEFAL, Defendants.) BRIAN YOUNG, SANDY YOUNG, TIMOTHY CORBETT, DAVID WELLS, and STEVE RICHMOND, DATE CITY OF SOUTH BEND, Acting Through its Police Department, DARRYL BOYKINS, Individually and in his Official Capacity as Chief of Police, KAREN DEPAEPE, and SCOTT DUERRING, Defendants.) DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE I, STEVE RICHMOND, do hereby certify that I have read my deposition consisting of 97 pages, and that it is a complete transcription of my deposition; Any corrections to my deposition are reflected on the attached errata sheet(s). There are errata sheet(s) attached; Dated this day of, STEVE RICHMOND	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COUNTY OF LAPORTE) COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Michelle A. Whitaker, RPR and duly authorized to administer such oath, do hereby certify that on the 16th day of April, 2013, at May, Oberfell, Lorber, 4100 Edison Lakes Parkway, Mishawaka, Indiana, commencing at or about the hour of 2:07 p.m., there appeared before me Steve Richmond, who was thereupon first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth during the taking of his deposition; I further certify that I reported said deposition proceedings by the means of machine shorthand, and that I have transcribed my original shorthand notes through the use of computer-aided transcription into the typewritten form; and that the foregoing and attached pages, or parts of pages, numbered inclusively one through 97 comprise a true, correct, complete and accurate transcript of said deposition proceedings; I further certify that present during the taking of the deposition were the following attorneys: Edward A.

	Page 101		322
1	am I related to, any of the parties herein, nor their		
	attorneys; nor do I hold any guaranteed contracts with any		38283838
3	financially interested third parties or entities;		21(3)(11)
4	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand		2000
5	and official seal this 28th day of April, 2013.		ACCOUNTS OF
6			1400 C
7			
	MICHELLE A. WHITAKER, RPR		
8	ASSOCIATE REPORTER		\$44.00 A
9			x talks and
10	000		35 XXX
11			Services.
12			
13			THE REAL PROPERTY.
	THIS CERTIFICATE APPLIES ONLY TO THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT		*868984A
	HEREOF AND DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY XEROX COPIES MADE OF THIS		Problems in
	TRANSCRIPT.		Sec. Legic.
17			151656161
18 19			141636563
20			5413.PARK
21			Syleber
22			48 65 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
23			265 E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
24			\$534453K
25		o .	\$20.00 \$4655
***************************************	igan gangga sakaran sanaran sanara		\$35434545
			435445
			5858688888
			48238728
			3394534
			29692527
			168635666
		,	54538 6444
		·	446453
	•	·	GREATES,
			181818181
			25,685,685
			15233355
			September
			42525225
			233523352
			188888888
			71527 T
			1222
	•		A 222 Sept.
			SMESSES.
			35553536
			Usessey,
			CHARACTER
			A 69 6 6 7 8 6 1 1 1
			18358888
			Sec. 100